

## **Management Midyear Assessment and Planning**

### **1. Meeting Information**

**Date:** February 13, 2026

**Convened by:** Quality Assurance Office (QAO)

**Meeting Type:** Institutional Governance and Management Review

**Coverage:** University-wide (All Academic and Administrative Units)

### **2. Purpose of the Meeting**

- a. Evaluate institutional performance against strategic KPIs
- b. Assess progress of academic, operational, and support units
- c. Ensure alignment with certification and accreditation frameworks
- d. Identify gaps, risks, and improvement opportunities
- e. Establish data-driven action plans

### **3. Attendance and Governance Representation**

The meeting was attended by senior leadership including the President, Vice Presidents, Deans, and Directors, demonstrating institutional governance oversight and decision-making authority.

### **4. Key Agenda Items**

- a. Institutional Performance Review
- b. Alignment with external frameworks (QS, T.H.E., WURI, AppliedHE, AUN-QA)
- c. Risk and Compliance Review
- d. Strategic Planning and Resource Alignment

### **5. Key Governance Decisions**

- a. Strengthening KPI monitoring systems
- b. Full Integration of PDCA across offices
- c. Enhanced alignment with rankings, certification and accreditation
- d. Adoption of Risk-Based Management Approach

## 6. Action Points and Accountability

Action Item	Responsible Units	Timeline	Expected Outcome
Revised plans aligned with identified rankings, certification and accreditation indicators	All offices	Immediate	Improved OP with global alignment
Closure of audit findings	Concerned offices	Agreed deadlines during audits	Full compliance in the next review
Enhancement of KPI dashboard vis a vis approved OPs	QAO	On-going	Real-time monitoring
Outcomes-based indicators	All	Next midyear review cycle	Impact-drive reporting

## 7. Monitoring and Evaluation Mechanism

Progress shall be monitored through governance meetings, quarterly reviews, and internal audits aligned with ISO 21001.

## 8. Alignment with audit expectations

- a. Leadership-level decision-making and oversight
- b. Data-driven evaluation of performance
- c. Integration of global rankings frameworks
- d. Clear action plans with accountability
- e. Commitment to continuous improvement

## 9. Conclusion

The meeting reinforces the University's commitment to excellence, global competitiveness, and compliance with international quality standards.

Prepared by:

**Ms. Katrine P. Dumling (SGD)**

QAO Staff

Approved by:

**Dr. Kareen B. Leon (SGD)**

QAO Director